Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,376	HAYASHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT HODGE	1795
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) ROBERT HODGE.	(3)	
(2) Jocelyn Ram.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 November 2009.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>U.S. 6,080,503 &amp; U.S. 2002/0031698</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Amendments to the claims were discussed to possibly overcome the prior art of record, further search and consideration is required to determine patentiability.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WINTERVIEW. SEE WIPE Section 7304, If a reply to be last Office a definion has already been fleed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW WARMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on verses side or on attached sheet.		
/Robert Hodge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795		